



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Vignina 22111-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/645,556	08/25/2000	Bernward Scholkens	02481.1702	3278
22852	7590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			KIM, JENNIFER M	
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1617	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	plicant(s)			
· Advisory Action	09/645,556	SCHOLKENS ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer Kim	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application are same application and the same application application and the same application application and the same	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The displayed been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the p FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to					
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below):			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	• •	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) \square they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		• •			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	nt(s) a) will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided below)☐ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		••			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,6,7,18 and 19</u>					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10/6/2004.					
10. Other:		Halmanthen			
	QDE:	ENI PADMANARHAN			

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly submitted claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The term "human" lacks literal support in the specification as filed.